

1 a year later, so that the legislature would have an oppor-  
2 tunity to set the necessary guidelines? Is that so?

3 THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Kiefer.

4 DELEGATE KIEFER: Yes.

5 THE CHAIRMAN: Are you ready for the question?  
6 Delegate Bamberger.

7 DELEGATE BAMBERGER: Mr. Chairman, I rise to  
8 speak against this amendment, and I suggest that the  
9 Chairman of the Committee and the Members of the Committee  
10 consider the effect of this. As I understand it, it now  
11 means that that right to compensation for property which  
12 is not taken but which is damaged is circumscribed by what-  
13 ever law the General Assembly might enact. If it was the  
14 intention of the Committee to give to the owners of property  
15 compensation where property was not actually taken but  
16 damaged, as that word is defined in the decisions of the  
17 Courts which have similar constitutional provisions, then  
18 this amendment does absolute violence to that intention of  
19 the Committee.

20 The amendment says that a property owner will  
21 have a right to compensation when his property is not